1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 4 May 14, 2020 - 10:04 a.m. [Remote Hearing conducted via Webex] 5 6 RE: **DE 20-002** 7 UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.: 2020 Least Cost Integrated Resource (Prehearing conference) 8 Plan. 9 PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne Martin, Presiding 10 Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey Cmsr. Michael S. Giaimo 11 Doreen Borden, Clerk 12 Eric Wind, PUC Remote Hearing Host 13 14 **APPEARANCES**: Reptg. Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.: Gary Epler, Esq. 15 Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: 16 D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate 17 Reptg. PUC Staff: Brian D. Buckley, Esq. 18 Richard Chagnon, Asst. Dir./Electric Kurt Demmer, Electric Division 19 20 21 2.2 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 24

2INDEX3PAGE 34STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:5Mr. Epler6Mr. Kreis	3 8
4 STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY: 5 Mr. Epler 7, 1	3 8
5 Mr. Epler 7, 1	8
	8
6 Mr. Kreis	
7 Mr. Buckley 1	3
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 PROCEEDING 2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Good 3 morning, everyone. We're here this morning for a 4 prehearing conference in Docket DE 20-002, which 5 is the Unitil Energy Systems, Incorporated, 2020 6 Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan. 7 I have to make the required findings, 8 because this is a remote hearing. So, I will do 9 that now. As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities 10 Commission, I find that, due to the State of 11 12 Emergency declared by the Governor, as a result 13 of the COVID-19 pandemic, and in accordance with 14 the Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, 15 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this 16 public body is authorized to meet 17 electronically. 18 Please note that there is no physical 19 location to observe and listen contemporaneously 20 to this hearing, which was authorized pursuant to 21 the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in 2.2 accordance with the Emergency Order, I am 23 confirming that we are utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing. All members of the 24

1 Commission have the ability to communicate 2 contemporaneously during this hearing through 3 this platform, and the public has access to 4 contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, 5 participate. 6 We previously gave notice to the public 7 of the necessary information for accessing the hearing in the Order of Notice. If anybody has a 8 problem, please call (603)271-2431. In the event 9 10 the public is unable to access the hearing, the 11 hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled. 12 A very short list of ground rules, 13 because I know Attorney Wind went through them 14 with you. Make sure you mute yourself if you're 15 not talking. Put your hand up to be recognized, 16 unless it's an objection, which you can just 17 speak out whenever you need to make it. And 18 speak slowly, so the court reporter can hear and 19 understand you. 20 So, let's start by taking roll call 21 attendance of the Commission, and then we'll take appearances. When each Commissioner states their 2.2 23 presence, please also state where you are

{DE 20-002} [Prehearing conference] {05-14-20}

And, if anyone else is with you, please

24

located.

1 identify them. 2 I am Dianne Martin, the Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission. And I am in an 3 4 office at the Commission, and I am alone. 5 Commissioner Bailey. 6 CMSR. BAILEY: Commissioner Kathryn 7 I'm in my home. And I'm in a room by Bailey. 8 myself. 9 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner 10 Giaimo. 11 CMSR. GIAIMO: Good morning. Commissioner Mike Giaimo. I am at the 12 Commission's Office, by myself, in my office. 13 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Let's take 14 15 appearances, starting with Attorney Epler. Thank you, Madam Chair and 16 MR. EPLER: 17 Commissioners. My name is Gary Epler. I'm the 18 Chief Regulatory Counsel for Unitil. 19 And let me just say, on behalf of the 20 Company, we appreciate the efforts of the 21 Commission, under the circumstances, to hold this 2.2 hearing and to continue with important matters 23 such as this. 24 Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. 2 Attorney Kreis. Oh, you're muted. 3 MR. KREIS: Sorry about that. You'd 4 think I'd figured out that little chore by now. 5 Good morning, Chairwoman Martin, 6 Commissioners, everybody. I am D. Maurice Kreis, 7 the Consumer Advocate. And, as you all know, I 8 am here to represent the interests of residential 9 utility customers pursuant to RSA rate RSA 363:28. 10 11 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. 12 Attorney Buckley. 13 MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Madam 14 Chair, Commissioners Bailey and Giaimo. My name 15 is Brian D. Buckley. I am a Staff Attorney with 16 the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. 17 I am joined today by Mr. Kurt Demmer, 18 and also with the Commission's Electric Division, 19 as well as Mr. Richard Chagnon, the Assistant 20 Director of the Electric Division. 21 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank 2.2 you, everyone. We have -- or, I have, for 23 preliminary matters at least, that there's a 24 Motion for Confidential Treatment pending. I do

not believe we have received any objections. 1 2 Does anyone want to speak to that 3 motion? Otherwise, we will rule on that as part 4 of the order that we issue. 5 [No indication given.] 6 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I don't see anyone 7 raising their hand. So, any other preliminary matters we need to discuss? 8 9 [No verbal response.] 10 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Then, we'll 11 move to just hearing everyone's preliminary 12 statement, starting with Mr. Epler. 13 MR. EPLER: Thank you, Commissioners. 14 On April 3rd, the Company filed -- one 15 second please -- the Company filed its Least Cost 16 Integrated Resource Plan pursuant to RSA 378:38. 17 This is an extensive document, consisting of 18 approximately 590 pages. The Company feels it 19 does give a very in-depth view of the planning 20 process that the Company undertakes. And, in all 21 those pages, we believe we have satisfied all or 2.2 at least most of the requirements for the filing. 23 We look forward to participating in technical sessions with the Staff and the OCA, 24

1 and any intervenors, in a process. We're 2 available to answer questions, participate in discovery, and look forward to this proceeding. 3 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 6 Attorney Kreis. 7 MR. KREIS: Thank you. 8 We are all here today pursuant to RSA 9 378:39, which says that "The commission shall 10 review integrated least-cost resource plans in 11 order to evaluate the consistency of each 12 utility's plan with this subdivision", meaning 13 Sections 37 of RSA 378, through Section 40, "in 14 an adjudicative proceeding." And then, the 15 statute says "In deciding whether or not to 16 approve the utility's plan, the commission shall 17 consider potential environmental, economic, and 18 health-related impacts of each proposed option." 19 That phrase "each proposed option" is really 20 important. Because, really, the problem with 21 this Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan, and 2.2 every other one that I've ever seen, is that it 23 really doesn't give the Commission the 24 information it needs to determine whether each

proposed option is, in fact, consistent with the 1 2 statutory standard. That just is not the way 3 these least cost integrated plans are structured. 4 You see that problem sort of implicitly 5 acknowledged in the title of the report that you 6 have before you. It doesn't say "Least Cost 7 Integrated Resource Plan", it says "Report on 8 Least Cost Integrated Resource Planning." And, 9 so, Unitil, like every other utility, treats this 10 kind of proceeding as an opportunity for the 11 Commission to review the planning process that 12 the Company undertakes. And that's an 13 interesting, and possibly, well, likely useful 14 process, it's just not what the statute is 15 telling the Commission to do. 16 So, I really think that the Commission 17 has no choice but to reject the Company's plan. 18 When we went through this exercise with Unitil 19 the last time, in Docket Number 16-463, the 20 Commission, in its order, said "We direct 21 Unitil", now I'm reading from Page 8 of that 2.2 order, "We direct Unitil to address all of the 23 statutory elements of RSA 378:38 in its next 24 LCIRP in sufficient detail and with supporting

1 analysis, so that reviewing parties may evaluate 2 the plan against the relevant statutory 3 standards. In addition to cost comparisons of 4 the various alternatives considered, we will 5 require more detailed evidence of reliability, 6 environmental, economic, and health related 7 impacts. Unitil has the burden to meet the 8 requirements of RSA 378:38, and to demonstrate 9 that its planning process results in the adoption of least cost options to meet the standards 10 11 articulated in RSA 378:39 by which the Commission is required to evaluate the plan." I just don't 12 13 think Unitil has done that. 14 In the very next paragraph of the 15 order, the Commission acknowledged that I made 16 essentially the same arguments in that docket 17 three years ago, and said that it believes that 18 the requirements that I just read out from the 19 order "respond to the concerns raised by the 20 Consumer Advocate at hearing." I'm going to keep 21 raising these concerns. And, eventually, I'm 2.2 going to take one of these LCIRP determinations 23 that the Commission makes and appeal it to the

New Hampshire Supreme Court, because I really

24

1 think this is a problem we have to solve. 2 And it isn't just a theoretical 3 problem. It's practical and real problem in the 4 following sense: Unitil and every other utility 5 talk about energy efficiency and active demand 6 response and demand-side measures in their 7 least-cost integrated resource plans, but all 8 they do is they take the ratepayer funded programs in those realms and treat them like a 9 10 box to be checked. And they assume, as long as 11 they participate as program administrators in the 12 System Benefits Charge funded programs, they 13 don't have to undertake any additional analysis 14 in the context of least-cost integrated resource 15 planning. 16 And, in fact, this Company even goes so 17 far as to say, at Page 32 of its proposed LCIRP, 18 and I'm reading now, "the company invests an 19 average of \$51.90 per lifetime megawatt-hour for 20 residential customers and \$27.16 for lifetime 21 megawatt-hours for C&I customers" in energy 2.2 efficiency. That's simply not a true statement. 23 This Company, and every other electric 24 distribution company and every other natural gas

1 utility in the state, doesn't invest one cent of 2 shareholder capital in energy efficiency. This 3 is all ratepayer money. What these companies are 4 obliged to do is to determine the extent to which 5 they need to deploy their own capital in some 6 menu of things that includes energy efficiency 7 and demand-side management, to assure its service is delivered in a least-cost fashion. 8 Now, that sounds a lot like I'm 9 10 trashing the Company. I really don't intend to 11 trash the Company. I've read their plan. There 12 is much in it that I find laudable and suggestive 13 of a company that really does take its 14 obligations seriously, to strive to bring us the 15 grid of the future in a way that truly empowers 16 customers. 17 So, that's the note I'd like to end on. 18 I'd like to thank the Company for thinking about 19 those aspects of its utility franchise, looking 20 ahead to the future, and communicating some, if 21 not all, of what it's doing along those lines in 2.2 its Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan. 23 Thank you. 24 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

1 Attorney Buckley. 2 MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 3 The Staff is still evaluating the 4 issues raised in the instant Petition. I will 5 say that Staff appreciates the level of detail 6 that goes into the least cost plans filed by 7 Utility Energy Systems. We are, in fact, cognizant of the 8 issues raised by the Consumer Advocate. 9 But we 10 do look forward to, through the Commission's 11 formal processes, trying to resolve any of those 12 issues, and other issues that might arise 13 throughout the proceeding. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 16 Does -- oh, Mr. Epler. 17 MR. EPLER: Yes. I'd just, if I 18 could, Madam Chair, just give a little brief 19 response? 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: You may. 21 MR. EPLER: Thank you. Felt a little 2.2 bit like we got a bit of a slap and a kiss there, 23 but let me say this. 24 The Company was faced with a little bit

of a dilemma in looking at what a few of the 1 2 other utilities have done in light of the 3 statutory requirements, in that they filed 4 waivers, given that there's a proceeding in IR 12-296 [15-296?], I believe it is, where we're 5 6 looking at possible alternatives to the least 7 cost planning requirement, and moving on in a different direction. 8 9 However, the Company, and after some brief informal discussions with Staff, decided 10 that it would be better for us to proceed with 11 12 the filing, because we do feel that there is much 13 information that we can provide, and that it 14 would be overall better, both for the Commission, 15 for the Staff and OCA, for interested parties, 16 and for the Company, to proceed with the full 17 filing, and to give a better sense of kind of 18 where we are in our planning process and the direction we're hoping to take for the Company 19 20 and the customers we serve. 21 So, we filed this, with the understanding that, in some respects, the 2.2 23 statute, we believe, is a little bit vague in

{DE 20-002} [Prehearing conference] {05-14-20}

terms of the requirements, that the Commission

24

1 and the state are trying to move in the Grid Mod 2 direction. 3 But, again, we thought that it would be 4 helpful for us to file what we filed, and to 5 engage with the parties in this process. So, 6 that's the spirit that this is offered in. 7 We take note of the objections of the Consumer Advocate. We believe that, through the 8 process of this docket, that we could try to 9 10 attempt to address the concerns that are raised, 11 and look forward to a fruitful process. We'd prefer not to have this -- have 12 13 our efforts dismissed. We prefer to try to work 14 through a compromise and to move forward. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 17 I appreciate that. 18 Are there any other issues we need to 19 address before you go to the technical session? 20 [No verbal response.] 21 MR. BUCKLEY: No. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I do not see 23 anyone. So, we will end this conference and send 24 you off. And we're adjourned. Thank you,

1	everyone.	
2		(Whereupon the prehearing conference
3		was adjourned at 10:19 a.m., and a
4		technical session was held
5		thereafter.)
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		